



Mark Ortlieb
General Attorney
State Regulatory & Legislative Matters

AT&T Michigan
221 N. Washington Sq.
1st Floor
Lansing, MI 48933

517.334.3425 Phone
517.334.3429 Fax

mo2753@att.com

September 1, 2010

Ms. Mary J. Kunkle
Executive Secretary
Michigan Public Service Commission
6545 Mercantile Way
Lansing, MI 48909

RE: Ameritech Michigan's submission on performance measurements, benchmarks, and reporting in compliance with the October 2, 1998 Order in MPSC Case No. U-11654.

Case No. Case No. U-11830

Dear Ms. Kunkle:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced case please find ***Report of AT&T Michigan on the 2010 Performance Measures and Remedy Plan Collaborative Review*** together with a proof of service. Please call me with any questions about this matter.

Sincerely,

Mark R. Ortlieb

MRO/ask
Enclosures

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Ameritech Michigan's submission on performance)	
measurements, benchmarks, and reporting in)	Case No. U-11830
compliance with the October 2, 1998 Order in)	
MPSC Case No. U-11654.)	
_____)	

REPORT OF AT&T MICHIGAN
ON THE 2010 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND REMEDY PLAN
COLLABORATIVE REVIEW

Michigan Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Michigan ("AT&T Michigan") hereby submits the following report on results of the Performance Measure Six Month Review Process that was held in 2010.

I. Preliminaries

On May 27, 1999, the Commission issued an order adopting an initial set of performance measures and benchmarks to be used in reviewing AT&T Michigan's obligation to provide nondiscriminatory access to its facilities and services. The Commission has modified these measures numerous times, with the Commission's June 10, 2008 Order being the most recent order in this matter. A collaborative group of interested parties meet regularly to review the performance measures and remedy plan. (§ 5.3) The June 10, 2008 Order approved updated Performance Measures and a new Remedy Plan. Pursuant to § 6.6 of the new Remedy Plan, it "automatically cease[s] on December 31, 2010, unless the parties agree to extend this Plan via an amendment to their Interconnection Agreement or successor Agreement. Upon request of CLEC, AT&T shall commence negotiations, which may include multiple CLECs, for a successor Remedy Plan no later than June 30, 2010."

II. Collaborative Discussions And Agreed Upon Steps Forward

Pursuant to §§ 5.3 and 6.6 of the Remedy Plan, AT&T convened the collaborative to review and propose modifications to the performance measures based upon actual experience and to address the expiration of the Remedy Plan. The collaborative review was held on May 11, 2010 via teleconference. Representatives for the following companies involved in the Michigan Remedy Plan participated in the call: AT&T Michigan, Cavalier, Comcast Cable, Covad, One Communications, PAETEC, TDS, TWTC, XO and Verizon Business. Representatives of the State Commission Staffs for Illinois, Indiana, Michigan and Ohio also attended the call. During this conference call, the AT&T and the CLEC participants reached consensus that the current Performance Measures continue without change and the current Remedy Plan be extended, without change, for two years, ending December 31, 2012. Pursuant to §6.6 of the Remedy Plan, that extension must be effectuated via amendments to the interconnection agreements that incorporate the Remedy Plan.

AT&T committed to prepare an appropriate amendment and to provide notice to all CLECs via Accessible Letter. The Accessible Letter was shared with the collaborative participants in draft form on July 28, 2010 and was distributed in final form on August 31, 2010. *See*, Exhibit 1. The template amendment was shared in draft form on July 28, 2010 and is referenced in the Accessible Letter and is available from the AT&T upon request. *See*, Exhibit 2.

AT&T shared a copy of this Report with the above-named CLECs and asked for concurrence that this Report accurately reflects the agreements in the Collaborative discussions. AT&T is authorized to represent that Cavalier, Covad, PAETEC, TWTC, Verizon Business and XO concur in this Report. The remaining CLECs did not respond to the request for concurrence.

III. Implementation

For CLECs with the current Remedy Plan included by reference in their interconnection agreement, remedy payments will continue uninterrupted if the CLEC returns the signed amendment by December 31, 2010. For CLECs that do not return the signed amendment by December 31, 2010, remedies will be suspended until the first full data month following full execution of the amendment per § 5.3 of the Remedy Plan. For example, if a CLEC signs and returns the Amendment on January 15, 2011, remedies are effective with February 2011 performance data which will be reported in March 2011 with remedies due being payable in April 2011.

IV. Conclusion

AT&T and the CLEC participants of the collaborative review have reached consensus to continue the current performance measures and remedy plan, without change, for two (2) years ending December 31, 2012. The parties will take action, pursuant to § 6.6 of the current remedy plan, to effectuate the agreed-to extension.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark R. Ortlieb (P34962)
AT&T Michigan
221 N. Washington Square, First Floor
Lansing, Michigan 48933
517-334-3425

Dated: September 1, 2010



Accessible

Date: **August 31, 2010**

Number: **CLECAM10-147**

Effective Date: **NA**

Category: **All**

Subject: **(BUSINESS PROCESSES) Extension Amendment - Performance Measurements Remedy Plan - AT&T Midwest Region**

Related Letters: **CLECAM10-050**

Attachment: **NA**

States Impacted: **Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio and Wisconsin**

Issuing ILECS: **AT&T Illinois, AT&T Indiana, AT&T Michigan, AT&T Ohio and AT&T Wisconsin (collectively referred to for purposes of this Accessible Letter as "AT&T Midwest Region")**

Response Deadline: **December 31, 2010**

Contact: **Negotiator**

Conference Call/Meeting: **NA**

AT&T Midwest Region hereby provides notice of the AT&T Midwest Remedy Plan ("The Plan") 2-Year Extension Amendment. This Amendment is available for Interconnection Agreements ("ICAs") that have incorporated the Plan. (The Plan itself can be found at CLEC Online, <https://clec.att.com/clec>, on the *Public PM Documents* page of the Performance Measures section.)

In accordance with the relevant terms and conditions for your state¹, the Plan will automatically cease on December 31, 2010 unless it is extended via an amendment or successor agreement. CLECs with ICAs that have the Plan incorporated are advised to contact their Negotiator to obtain the Extension Amendment if they choose to have the Plan continue.

In order for a CLEC to continue to receive remedies related to the Plan without interruption, the CLEC must sign and return an amendment incorporating the Plan into their interconnection agreement by December 31, 2010. Effective January 1, 2011, remedies will not be paid to CLECs who do not return an executed Extension Amendment by December 31, 2010. For example, if a CLEC signs and returns the Amendment on January 15, 2011, remedies are effective with February 2011 performance data which will be reported in March 2011 with remedies due being payable in April 2011.

¹ See Section 6.5 of the Plan in Ohio, Wisconsin, and Indiana, and Section 6.6 of the Plan in Illinois and Michigan.

**AMENDMENT TO
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT
BY AND BETWEEN
AT&T ILEC d/b/a AT&T STATE
AND
CLEC**

This Amendment amends the Interconnection Agreement by and between **AT&T ILEC** d/b/a **AT&T State** ("AT&T State") and **CLEC Name** ("CLEC"). AT&T and CLEC are hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Parties" and individually as a "Party". This Amendment applies in AT&T's service territory in the State of **[name]**.

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, AT&T and CLEC are Parties to an Interconnection Agreement (the Agreement) under Sections 251 and 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, as amended (the "Act"), approved on **[date]** (the "Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, AT&T, members of the CLEC community and representatives of the state Commission staffs for Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio and Wisconsin recently participated in a collaborative to determine whether to modify the current Commission approved and ordered Performance Measures and Remedies Plan (the "Plan") for the States of Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio and Wisconsin ("Collaborative Review"); and

WHEREAS, that Collaborative Review resulted in agreement by the Parties to extend the term of the Plan, without changes.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises and mutual agreements set forth herein, the Parties agree to amend the Agreement as follows:

1. The term of the Plan shall be extended for two (2) years ending December 31, 2012.
2. Conflict between this Amendment and the Agreement. This Amendment shall be deemed to revise the terms and conditions of the Agreement only to the extent necessary to give effect to the purpose of this Amendment, which is to extend the term of the Plan. In the event of a conflict between the terms and conditions of this Amendment and the terms and conditions of the Agreement, this Amendment shall govern, *provided, however*, that the fact that a term or condition appears in this Amendment but not in the Agreement, or in the Agreement but not in this Amendment, shall not be interpreted as, or deemed grounds for finding, a conflict.
3. Scope of Amendment. This Amendment shall amend, modify and revise the Agreement only to the extent set forth expressly in paragraph 1 of this Amendment. All other terms and conditions of the Agreement remain in full force and effect for the duration of the term of the Agreement, including but not limited to termination rights of the Parties. Nothing in this Amendment shall be deemed to extend or otherwise modify the term of the Agreement, or to affect the rights of the Parties to exercise any right of termination under the Agreement.
4. For Illinois, Indiana, Michigan and Wisconsin, the Parties acknowledge and agree that this Amendment shall be filed with, and is subject to approval by the Commission and shall become effective ten (10) days following approval by such Commission (the "Amendment Effective Date"). For Ohio: Based on the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio rules, the Amendment is effective upon filing and is deemed approved by operation of law on the 91st day after filing. However, for all States, the amendment shall be implemented as of the date it is fully executed. For example, if a CLEC signs and returns the Amendment on January 15, 2011, remedies are effective with February 2011 performance data which will be reported in March 2011 with remedies due being payable in April 2011.

CLEC

AT&T ILEC d/b/a AT&T **State** by AT&T Operations,
Inc., its authorized agent

By: _____

By: _____

Printed: _____

Printed: _____

Title: _____
(Print or Type)

Title: Director-Interconnection Agreements

Date: _____

Date: _____

	<u>Resale OCN</u>	<u>ULEC OCN</u>	<u>CLEC OCN</u>
ILLINOIS	_____	_____	_____
INDIANA	_____	_____	_____
MICHIGAN	_____	_____	_____
OHIO	_____	_____	_____
WISCONSIN	_____	_____	_____

ACNA - _____

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Ameritech Michigan's submission on performance)
measurements, benchmarks, and reporting in)
compliance with the October 2, 1998 Order in)
MPSC Case No. U-11654.)
_____)

Case No. U-11830

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
)ss
COUNTY OF WAYNE)

Mark Ortlieb, first being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is employed at AT&T Michigan, and that on the 1st day of September, 2010, he caused a copy of the ***Report of AT&T Michigan on the 2010 Performance Measures and Remedy Plan Collaborative Review*** and this Proof of Service be served via email upon the parties listed on the attached service list.

Mark Ortlieb

Subscribed and sworn to before me this
1st day of September, 2010

Abigail S. Kraenzlein
Notary Public, Macomb County
My Commission Expires: 11-10-2011
Acting in the County of Wayne

SERVICE LIST

MPSC Case No. U-11830

<u>Michigan Public Service Commission</u> Robin Ancona – anconar1@michigan.gov Wendy Thelen – thelenw@michigan.gov Rodney Gregg – greggr@michigan.gov Michael Nickerson – nickersonm@michigan.gov	<u>ALJ</u> Sharon Feldman – slfeldm@michigan.gov
<u>Assistant Attorney General</u> Michael Moody – moodyme@michigan.gov	<u>Covad Communications</u> Albert Ernest – aernst@dykema.com Jeanne Beachnau – jbeachnau@dykema.com Katherine Mudge – kmudge@covad.com
<u>Clark Hill</u> Leland R. Rosier – lrosier@clarkhill.com Roderick Coy – rcoy@clarkhill.com Haran C. Rashes – hrashes@clarkhill.com	<u>McLeodUSA, Inc. (PAETEC)</u> William A. Haas – William.Haas@PAETEC.com
<u>Verizon North, Inc.</u> Deborah L. Kuhn – deborah.kuhn@verizon.com	<u>Cavalier</u> Michael S. Ashton – MASht@fraserlawfirm.com Margaret H. Ring – Mhring@cavtel.com
<u>Comcast</u> Michael S. Ashton – MASht@fraserlawfirm.com Tim Kagele – Tim_Kagele@cable.comcast.com Randee Ryan – Randee_Ryan@cable.comcast.com	<u>Time Warner</u> Michael S. Ashton – MASht@fraserlawfirm.com Shelly Pederson – Shelly.Pedersen@twtelecom.com
<u>XO</u> Michael S. Ashton – MASht@fraserlawfirm.com Rex Knowles – rex.knowles@xo.com	<u>US Xchange d/b/a One Communications</u> Ted Price – tprice@onecommunications.com Linda Peterman – lpeterman@onecommunications.com
<u>Spring Communications Company LP</u> Joseph R. Stewart – Joseph.r.stewart@ail.sprint.com	<u>Comptel</u> Julie Kaminski Corsig – juliecorsig@dwt.com
<u>Loomis, Ewert, Parsley, Davis & Gotting, PC</u> Michael G. Oliva – mgoliva@loomislaw.com	<u>TDS Metrocom, LLC</u> Peter Healy – peter.healy@onecommunications.com Rod Cox – rod.cox@tdsmetro.com
<u>Climax CMC</u> Gary L. Field – glfield@glfieldlaw.com	