

STATE OF MICHIGAN
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

At the April 12, 2011 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman
Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner
Hon. Greg R. White, Commissioner

ORDER

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements
or amendments:

Case No. U-12497	Frontier North Inc. and Frontier Midstates Inc., and New Edge Network, Inc., d/b/a New Edge Networks. Application filed on March 21, 2011 for approval of a Second Amendment to an Interconnection Agreement (extends the term of the agreement).
Case No. U-12952	TDS Metrocom, LLC and AT&T Michigan. Application filed on March 25, 2011 for approval of a Sixteenth Amendment to an Interconnection Agreement (incorporates revised terms and conditions pertaining to DC Power provided by AT&T Michigan).
Case No. U-13124	McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, LLC, d/b/a PaeTec Business Services and AT&T Michigan. Application filed on March 25, 2011 for approval of an Eighteenth Amendment to an Interconnection Agreement (incorporates revised terms and conditions pertaining to DC Power provided by AT&T Michigan).

- | | |
|------------------|---|
| Case No. U-13513 | Sage Telecom, Inc. and AT&T Michigan.
Application filed on February 23, 2011 for approval of a Twentieth Amendment to an Interconnection Agreement (extends the terms of the AT&T Midwest Performance Remedy Plan Appendix in the Agreement until December 31, 2012). |
| Case No. U-15946 | PaeTec Communications, Inc. and AT&T Michigan.
Application filed on March 25, 2011 for approval of an Eighteenth Amendment to an Interconnection Agreement (incorporates revised terms and conditions pertaining to DC Power provided by AT&T Michigan). |
| Case No. U-16121 | Talk America Inc., d/b/a Cavalier Telephone, and LDMI Telecommunications, Inc., and AT&T Michigan.
Application filed on March 25, 2011 for approval of a Sixteenth Amendment to an Interconnection Agreement (incorporates revised terms and conditions pertaining to DC Power provided by AT&T Michigan). |
| Case No. U-16550 | The Deerfield Farmers' Telephone Company and Ogden Telephone Company.
Application filed on March 22, 2011 for approval of an Interconnection Agreement. |
| Case No. U-16561 | Baraga Telephone Company and AT&T Michigan.
Application filed on February 25, 2011 for approval of an Interconnection Agreement. |

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 USC 252(e) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; . . .
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review

of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

- A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.
- B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court under 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman

Monica Martinez, Commissioner

Greg R. White, Commissioner

By its action of April 12, 2011.

Mary Jo Kunkle, Executive Secretary

P R O O F O F S E R V I C E

STATE OF MICHIGAN)

Case No. U-12497

County of Ingham)

Mignon Middlebrook being duly sworn, deposes and says that on April 12, 2011 A.D. she served a copy of the attached Commission orders by first class mail, postage prepaid, or by inter-departmental mail, to the persons as shown on the attached service list.

Mignon Middlebrook

Subscribed and sworn to before me
This 12th day of April 2011

Gloria Pearl Jones
Notary Public, Ingham County, MI
My Commission Expires June 5, 2016

Service List U-12497

Frontier North Inc., f/k/a Verizon North Inc.
Robert E. Stewart
124 W. Allegan
Suite 1100
Lansing MI 48933

Gregg C. Sayre
Associate General Counsel
180 South Clinton Avenue
Rochester NY 14646

New Edge Network, Inc.
3000 Columbia House Blvd.
Suite 106
Vancouver WA 98661