

S T A T E O F M I C H I G A N
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

At the July 29, 2008 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman
 Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner
 Hon. Steven A. Transeth, Commissioner

ORDER

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements
and amendments:

- | | |
|------------------|---|
| Case No. U-12758 | AT&T Michigan and T-Mobile USA, Inc.
Application filed July 15, 2008 for approval of a sixth amendment to
an interconnection agreement (extending the term of the agreement
to January 7, 2011). |
| Case No. U-12774 | AT&T Michigan and PaeTec Communications, Inc.
Application filed June 24, 2008 for approval of an eighth
amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of
“business lines”). |
| Case No. U-12798 | AT&T Michigan and Broadwing Communications, LLC
Application filed June 19, 2008 for approval of a twelfth amendment
to an interconnection agreement (extends the term of the agreement
three years). |

- Case No. U-12954 AT&T Michigan and Buckeye Telesystem, Inc.
Application filed July 1, 2008 for approval of an eleventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines”).
- Case No. U-12988 AT&T Michigan and ACD Telecom, Inc.
Application filed June 25, 2008 for approval of an eighth amendment to an interconnection agreement (adds interim ISP terminating compensation plan and extends the term of the agreement to July 20, 2010).
- Case No. U-13003 AT&T Michigan and US Xchange of Michigan, L.L.C., d/b/a One Communications
Application filed June 25, 2008 for approval of a ninth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines”).
- Case No. U-13124 AT&T Michigan and McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc., d/b/a Pactec Business Services
Application filed June 25, 2008 for approval of a thirteenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines”).
- Case No. U-13137 AT&T Michigan and Local Exchange Carriers of Michigan, Inc.
Application filed June 24, 2008, with an amended application filed July 1, 2008, for approval of a sixth amendment to an interconnection agreement (establishes/clarifies rates for and types of cross connects for DS1 loops, DS1 Transports, and DS1 EELs).
- Case No. U-13137 AT&T Michigan and Local Exchange Carriers of Michigan, Inc.
Application filed June 24, 2008 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines”).
- Case No. U-13227 AT&T Michigan and Verizon Wireless (VAW) LLC
Application file July 15, 2008 for approval of a sixth amendment to an interconnection agreement (replacing Collocation Rate Summary).
- Case No. U-13258 AT&T Michigan and Zenk Group Ltd., d/b/a Planet Access
Application filed June 24, 2008 for approval of a sixth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines”).

- Case No. U-13258 AT&T Michigan and Zenk Group Ltd., d/b/a Planet Access
Application filed July 9, 2008 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (extends the term of the agreement three years).
- Case No. U-13483 AT&T Michigan and Williams Local Network, LLC
Application filed July 1, 2008 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (extends the term of the agreement three years).
- Case No. U-13686 AT&T Michigan and VarTec Telecom, Inc.
Application filed July 9, 2008 for approval of a sixth amendment to an interconnection agreement (name change to Comtel Telcom Assets LP, d/b/a Vartec Telecom Michigan).
- Case No. U-13687 AT&T Michigan and Excel Telecommunications, Inc.
Application filed July 9, 2008 for approval of a sixth amendment to an interconnection agreement (name change to Comtel Telcom Assets LP, d/b/a Excel Telecommunications Michigan).
- Case No. U-13980 AT&T Michigan and Granite Telecommunications, LLC
Application filed June 24, 2008 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines”).
- Case No. U-14152 AT&T Michigan and Level 3 Communications, LLC
Application filed June 19, 2008 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (extends the term of the agreement three years).
- Case No. U-14176 AT&T Michigan and Norlight, Inc., d/b/a Cinergy Communications
Application filed June 25, 2008 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines” and provides a three year extension of the agreement).
- Case No. U-14694 AT&T Michigan and Arialink Telecom LLC
Application filed June 19, 2008 for approval of a ninth amendment to an interconnection agreement (establishes rates for cross connects used with DSI loops, DSI Transports, and DSI EELs).
- Case No. U-15027 AT&T Michigan and TelCove Operations, Inc.
Application filed June 19, 2008 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (extends the term of the agreement three years).

- Case No. U-15303 AT&T Michigan and Birch Telecom of the Great Lakes, Inc.
Application filed July 11, 2008 for approval of a tenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines”).
- Case No. U-15613 AT&T Michigan and BCR Network Services, Inc., d/b/a The Data Warehouse
Application filed July 9, 2008 requesting approval of an interconnection agreement (adoption of the agreement between AT&T Michigan and Quick Communications, Inc., approved in Case No. U-14301, including first amendment (adds an ABS Agreement); second amendment (adds reciprocal compensation); third amendment (adds final rates from Case No. U-13531); fourth amendment (adds certain provisions of the TRO/TRRO from Case No. U-14447); fifth amendment (adopting FCC’s ISP Reciprocal Compensation Plan); sixth amendment (terms of agreement); seventh amendment (revises definition of “business lines”).
- Case No. U-15615 AT&T Michigan and Dakota Wireless Group, LLC, and Michigan Wireless, LLC
Application filed June 27, 2008 for approval of an interconnection agreement and first amendment (reciprocal compensation).

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity;
 - ...
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

After reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, the Commission finds that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and

MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements shall be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court pursuant to 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman

Monica Martinez, Commissioner

Steven A. Transeth, Commissioner

By its action of July 29, 2008.

Mary Jo Kunkle, Executive Secretary

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF MICHIGAN)

Case No. U-12758

|

County of Ingham)

E. David Lechler being duly sworn, deposes and says that on July 29, 2008, A.D. he served a copy of the attached Commission order by first class mail, postage prepaid, or by inter-departmental mail, to the persons as shown on the attached service list.

E. David Lechler

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 29th day of July 2008

Lisa Felice
Notary Public, Eaton County, Michigan
Acting in Ingham County
My Commission Expires: April 15, 2014

SERVICE LIST FOR DOCKET # U - 12758-

CASE #

DATE OF PREPARATION: 07/30/2008

VOICESTREAM WIRELESS
CSC-LAWYERS INCORPORATING SERVICE
601 ABBOTT RD.
EAST LANSING MI 48823

MS. ROBIN GLEASON
SBC MICHIGAN
201 N. WASHINGTON SQUARE
ROOM 920
LANSING MI 48933

MR. CRAIG A. ANDERSON
AT&T MICHIGAN
444 MICHIGAN AVENUE, ROOM 1750
DETROIT MI 48226 2517

SUBSCRIPTION LIST
ALL COMMUNICATION ORDERS

MR. DON EITNIEAR
DIT – TELECOMMUNICATIONS DIV.
HANNA BUILDING, FIRST FLOOR
LANSING MI **ID MAIL**

MR. MICHAEL BYRNE
SENATE DEMOCRATIC STAFF
ROMNEY BUILDING
LANSING MI **ID MAIL**

.