

STATE OF MICHIGAN
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

At the July 11, 2006 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. J. Peter Lark, Chairman
 Hon. Laura Chappelle, Commissioner
 Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner

ORDER

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements
and amendments:

Case No. U-12774	AT&T Michigan and PaeTec Communications, Inc. Application filed June 16, 2006 for approval of a seventh amend- ment to an interconnection agreement (extends the term of reciprocal compensation provisions).
Case No. U-13805	AT&T Michigan and grid4 Communications, Inc. Application filed June 13, 2006 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement.
Case No. U-14922	Frontier Communications of Michigan, Inc., and T-Mobile USA, Inc. Application filed June 14, 2006 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
Case No. U-14930	Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and Clear Rate Communications, Inc.

Application filed June 26, 2006 for approval of an interconnection agreement (adoption of the agreement approved in Case No. U-13931).

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 USC 252(e) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; . . .
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

- a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended, MCL 484.2101 *et seq.*; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151

et seq.; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 *et seq.*; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 *et seq.*

b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court pursuant to 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

(S E A L)

/s/ J. Peter Lark
Chairman

By its action of July 11, 2006.

/s/ Laura Chappelle
Commissioner

/s/ Mary Jo Kunkle
Its Executive Secretary

/s/ Monica Martinez
Commissioner

et seq.; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 *et seq.*; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 *et seq.*

b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court pursuant to 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

By its action of July 11, 2006.

Commissioner

Its Executive Secretary

Commissioner

P R O O F O F S E R V I C E

STATE OF MICHIGAN)

Case No. U-12774

County of Ingham)

Patricia A. Fronta being duly sworn, deposes and says that on July 11th 2006, A.D. she served a copy of the attached Commission order by first class mail, postage prepaid, or by inter-departmental mail, to the persons as shown on the attached service list.

Patricia Fronta

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 11th day of July 2006

Sharron A. Allen
Notary Public, Ingham County, MI
My Commission Expires August 16, 2011

SERVICE LIST
CASE NO. U-12774

J AMBROSI
PAETEC COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
290 WOODCLIFF DRIVE
FAIRPORT, NY 14450

MS. ROBIN GLEASON
AT&T MICHIGAN
201 N. WASHINGTON SQUARE
ROOM 920
LANSING, MI 48933

MR. CRAIG A. ANDERSON
AT&T MICHIGAN
444 MICHIGAN AVE., ROOM 1750
DETROIT, MI 48226-2517

SUBSCRIPTION MAILING
ALL COMMUNICATION ORDERS

MR. DON EITNIEAR
DMB – TELECOMMUNICATIONS DIV.
OTTAWA BUILDING
LANSING ID MAIL

MR. MICHAEL BYRNE
SENATE DEMOCRATIC STAFF
ROMNEY BUILDING
LANSING ID MAIL

MR. JOHN PESTLE
VARNUM, RIDDERING, SCHMIDT & HOWLETT
BRIDGEWATER PLACE
P.O. BOX 352
GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501-0352