



**Lisa M. Bruno**  
General Attorney  
State Regulatory & Legislative Matters

AT&T Michigan  
444 Michigan Avenue  
Room 1750  
Detroit, MI 48226

313.223.8188 Phone  
313.496.9326 Fax

[lb2872@att.com](mailto:lb2872@att.com)

January 7, 2009

Ms. Mary Jo Kunkle  
Executive Secretary  
Michigan Public Service Commission  
6545 Mercantile Way, P.O. Box 30221  
Lansing, MI 48911

**Re: MPSC Case No. U-13003, Interconnection Agreement Between AT&T Michigan and US Xchange of Michigan, LLC d/b/a Choice One Communications**

Dear Ms. Kunkle:

Attached for filing is the joint application requesting approval of the **Tenth Amendment** to the Interconnection Agreement by and between AT&T Michigan and US Xchange of Michigan, LLC d/b/a Choice One Communications. In accordance with the Commission's request, AT&T Michigan makes this filing electronically by posting the enclosed Amendment and related pleadings onto the Commission's web site at:

<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/>

Additional copies of the Amendment are available on this web site as well as AT&T Michigan's website at:

[http://www.sbc.com/search/regulatory.jsp?category=WWW.SBC.COM/LARGE-FILES/RIMS/INTERCONNECTION\\_AGREEMENTS/MICHIGAN](http://www.sbc.com/search/regulatory.jsp?category=WWW.SBC.COM/LARGE-FILES/RIMS/INTERCONNECTION_AGREEMENTS/MICHIGAN)

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Lisa M. Bruno". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Attachment

cc: Darren Kreidler

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In Re the request for Commission approval of       )  
An Interconnection Agreement between                )  
US Xchange of Michigan, L.L.C. and                    )  
Ameritech Michigan                                                )

Case No. U- 13003

**JOINT APPLICATION**

AT&T Michigan<sup>1</sup> and US Xchange of Michigan, L.L.C. hereby jointly apply to the Michigan Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to Section 203(1) of the Michigan Telecommunications Act (MTA), as amended, MCL 484.2203(1), and Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act), 47 U.S.C. § 252(e), for approval of the Tenth Amendment to the interconnection agreement between the parties heretofore approved by the Commission on September 7, 2001 (Agreement). In support of this joint application, AT&T Michigan and US Xchange of Michigan, L.L.C. state as follows:

1. The parties have entered into good faith negotiations and have executed a Tenth Amendment to the Agreement. The Tenth Amendment to the Agreement, fully executed as of December 31, 2008, supersedes certain reciprocal compensation terms in the underlying the Agreement. A copy of the Tenth Amendment to the Agreement, duly executed by the Parties, is submitted with this joint application as Exhibit A.

2. The Tenth Amendment is the result of voluntary negotiations and must be submitted to the Commission for its approval or rejection pursuant to Section 252(e)(1) of the Act. The Tenth Amendment meets all statutory criteria for Commission approval.


---

<sup>1</sup> Michigan Bell Telephone Company (previously referred to as “Michigan Bell” or “SBC Michigan”) now operates under the name “AT&T Michigan” pursuant to an assumed name filing with the State of Michigan.

WHEREFORE, AT&T Michigan and US Xchange of Michigan, L.L.C. jointly request Commission approval of the 10TH Amendment to the Agreement pursuant to MTA §203(1) and §252(e) of the Act as soon as possible.


Respectfully submitted,

US Xchange of Michigan, L.L.C.



Darren Kreidler  
Five Wall Street,  
Burlington, MA 01803  
(603) 606-6351

Counsel for AT&T Michigan



Lisa M. Bruno (P52954)  
444 Michigan Avenue, Room 1750  
Detroit, Michigan 48226  
(313) 223-8188

Dated: 12/24/08

JANUARY 7, 2009

Exhibit A  
Case No. U- 13003

TENTH AMENDMENT

Executed as of December 31, 2008

TO

INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT UNDER SECTIONS 251 AND 252  
OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996

by and between

AT&T MICHIGAN

and

US XCHANGE OF MICHIGAN, LLC  
d/b/a CHOICE ONE COMMUNICATIONS

## AMENDMENT SUPERSEDING CERTAIN RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION TERMS

This Amendment Superseding Certain Reciprocal Compensation, Interconnection and Trunking Terms ("Amendment") is applicable to this and any future Interconnection Agreement between Indiana Bell Telephone Company Incorporated d/b/a AT&T Indiana, The Ohio Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Ohio, Michigan Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Michigan, and Wisconsin Bell, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Wisconsin, in the states of Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, or Wisconsin and any of its future affiliates or subsidiaries which are the Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier (hereinafter "ILEC")<sup>1</sup> in the above listed states and US Xchange of Indiana, L.L.C., US Xchange of Michigan, L.L.C., US Xchange of Wisconsin, L.L.C., US Xchange, Inc., Choice One Communications of Ohio, Inc. and Choice One Communications, Inc. and any of its future affiliates or subsidiaries which are a competitive Local Exchange Carrier (hereinafter "CLEC" or "Choice One") in: Wisconsin, Michigan, Indiana, or Ohio through the Termination Date, whether negotiated, arbitrated, or arrived at through the exercise of Section 252 (i) "Most Favored Nation" ("MFN") rights; but only to the extent that any such future CLEC affiliate or subsidiary (i) is operating as a competitive Local Exchange Carrier in ILEC's territory in the 13-State Region and (ii) is interconnected and exchanging traffic with ILEC as a competitive Local Exchange Carrier. The Parties acknowledge and agree that CLEC has competitive Local Exchange Carrier affiliates that, in addition to operating as a competitive Local Exchange Carrier, operate as an incumbent Local Exchange Carrier or in some other Non-CLEC capacity ("Non-CLEC Operations"). The Parties agree that nothing in this Amendment is intended to bind CLEC's future competitive Local Exchange Carrier affiliates or subsidiaries in any manner for such Non-CLEC Operations. ILEC and CLEC may be referred to individually as "Party" or collectively as the "Parties".

WHEREAS, ILEC and CLEC entered into interconnection agreements pursuant to Sections 251 and 252 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act") that was approved by the respective state commissions (the "ICA"); and

WHEREAS, for the states of Wisconsin, Michigan, Indiana, or Ohio the Parties wish to amend, modify and supersede certain compensation provisions of the ICA that are addressed in this Amendment and also incorporate the terms of this Amendment in future interconnection agreements between the Parties in such states through the Termination Date; and

WHEREAS, the Parties wish to establish rates, terms and conditions for the exchange of ISP-bound, Section 251(b)(5) and other compensable traffic including, but not limited to, compensable traffic that originates from or terminates to a Choice One end user; and

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that they can identify ISP-bound traffic through the use of billing and other technical information rather than by means of the ratio set forth in the FCC's ISP Remand Order.

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the premises, mutual promises and covenants contained in this Amendment, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

1. The term of this Amendment shall commence on January 1, 2009<sup>2</sup> ("Effective Date") and shall continue until January 31, 2011. Thereafter, this Amendment will remain in full force and effect unless terminated by

<sup>1</sup> The AT&T-13STATE ILECs previously operated under d/b/as that had "SBC" instead of "AT&T" in the d/b/a names set forth hereinabove.

<sup>2</sup> Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Agreement (including, as applicable, this Amendment and any other Amendments to the Agreement ("Agreement")), in the event that any other telecommunications carrier should adopt the Parties' ICA and this Amendment pursuant to Section 252(i) of the Act ("Adopting CLEC") after August 1, 2007, it is AT&T's position that such adopting CLEC shall only be entitled to

either Party by providing at least thirty (30) days' written notice to the other Party (collectively, the "Termination Date").

- 1.1 The Parties agree that this Amendment will act to supersede, amend and modify the applicable provisions currently contained in the ICA. This Amendment shall also be incorporated into and become a part of, by exhibit, attachment or otherwise, any future interconnection agreement between the Parties through the Termination Date whether negotiated, arbitrated, or arrived at through the exercise of Section 252(i) "Most Favored Nation" ("MFN") rights. Any inconsistencies between the provisions of this Amendment and other provisions of the current ICA or future interconnection agreements described above, through the Termination Date, will be governed by the provisions of this Amendment, unless this Amendment is specifically and expressly superseded by a future amendment between the Parties. However, if the underlying ICA or interconnection agreement expires sooner than the Termination Date, the Parties agree that the Amendment shall not extend or otherwise alter the term and termination rights of the underlying ICA or interconnection agreement but, instead, the Amendment will be incorporated into any successor interconnection agreement between the Parties through the Termination Date.
2. Except as provided in Section 3 below, during the term of this Amendment period, January 1, 2009 through the Termination Date, the Parties agree that neither of the Parties will seek, directly or indirectly, to obtain alternate terms and conditions to those stated in this Amendment. If, during the term of this Amendment, CLEC adopts another agreement pursuant to Section 252(i), it must amend the adopted interconnection agreement with this Amendment. Such Amendment shall be filed with the state Commission at the same time that the MFN agreement is filed so that this Amendment will apply uninterrupted from January 1, 2009 through the Termination Date. If the ILECs have voluntarily entered into an interconnection agreement which is applicable to the thirteen-state region as a whole, CLEC or its Affiliate(s) may exercise its rights under section 252(i) of the Act to obtain the rates, terms, and conditions of such agreement in its entirety provided that the agreement is otherwise available for adoption. This waiver includes, but is not limited to, any material sale of CLEC's assets, in which case CLEC shall obtain the purchaser's consent to be bound by the reciprocal compensation terms and conditions set forth herein.
3. Notwithstanding the provisions of Sections 2 or 18 or anything else herein, during the period from January 1, 2009 through the Termination Date, the Parties waive any rights they may have under the Intervening/Change of Law provisions, of the Parties' ICAs in effect during the term of this Amendment with respect to any intercarrier compensation, provided, however, that if an FCC order related to intercarrier compensation becomes effective after the Effective Date of this Amendment, including, without limitation, orders issued in CC Docket 96-98, the FCC's rulemaking in *the Matter of Developing a Unified Intercarrier Compensation Regime*, CC Docket 0192, established in Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Order No. 01-132 (April 27, 2001) and/or *In the Matter of IP Enabled Services*, WC Docket 04-36 ("FCC Order"), the affected provisions of this Amendment relating to reciprocal compensation, Total Compensable Traffic (as defined herein), POIs or trunking requirements shall be invalidated, modified, or stayed, consistent with such FCC Order, with such invalidation, modification, or stay becoming effective only upon the date of the written request of either Party once the FCC Order has become effective (the "Written Request"). In such event, upon receipt of the Written Request, the Parties shall expend diligent efforts to arrive at an agreement regarding the appropriate conforming modifications to the ICAs, future interconnection agreement(s) and

---

receive the rates, terms and conditions as set forth in this amendment prospectively beginning from the date that the MFN provisions become effective between ILEC and the Adopting CLEC, following the date the applicable public utilities commission approves or is deemed to have approved the Adopting CLEC's Section 252(i) adoption ("Section 252(i) Effective Date"). It is further AT&T's position that an Adopting CLEC is not entitled to the application of the rates, terms and conditions under its MFN Provisions to a date prior to its Section 252(i) Effective Date.

Amendment (including any separate amendments to such agreements). If negotiations fail, disputes between the Parties concerning the interpretation of the actions required or provisions affected by such FCC Order shall be resolved pursuant to the dispute resolution process provided for in the ICAs or future interconnection agreement(s), provided, however, that the rates, terms and conditions ultimately ordered by a state commission in the complaint proceeding or negotiated by the Parties during the dispute resolution process shall be retroactive to the effective date of the Written Request following such FCC Order. Except as set forth in this Section 3 with respect to reciprocal compensation, Total Compensable Traffic (as defined herein), during the time period from Effective Date through and including the Termination Date, each Party shall have full intervening law rights under this Amendment and any intervening law rights in the underlying Agreement, and may invoke such intervening law/change in law rights as to any provisions in the ICA or future interconnections agreement(s) (including any separate amendments) impacted by any regulatory, legislative or judicial action as well as the intervening law rights relating to an FCC Order set forth in this Section 3.

#### 4. Classifications of Traffic - Definitions

"Section 251(b)(5) Traffic" shall mean the traffic that is lawfully compensable under Section 251(b)(5) of the Act as of the Effective Date of this Amendment. For purposes of this Amendment, Section 251(b)(5) Traffic shall include mandatory extended area service calls and metropolitan calling area calls (as approved by the applicable Commission as of the Effective Date).

"ISP-Bound Traffic" shall mean any ISP traffic that, as of the Effective Date of this Amendment, is lawfully compensable under the FCC's Order on Remand Report and Order, In the Matter of Implementation of the Local Compensation Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Intercarrier Compensation for ISP-Bound Traffic, FCC 01-131, CC Docket Nos. 96-98, 99-68 (released April 27, 2001) ("ISP Remand Order") and the subsequent FCC CoreCom order, FCC 04-241, WC Docket No. 03-171 (released October 18, 2004) granting forbearance from enforcing certain provisions of the ISP Remand Order related to growth caps and the new markets rule

"FX Traffic" shall mean calls delivered to or from numbers that are assigned to an exchange within a common mandatory local calling area but where the receiving or calling party is physically located outside the common mandatory local calling area of the exchange to which the number is assigned. Such traffic is either Feature Group A (FGA) or Foreign Exchange (FX) and is not a Local Call for intercarrier compensation and is not subject to local reciprocal compensation

"Total Compensable Traffic" shall mean the combination of Section 251(b)(5) and ISP-Bound Traffic exchanged by the Parties pursuant to the ICA.

"Intercarrier Traffic" includes Section 251(b)(5) Traffic, ISP-Bound Traffic, transited traffic, intraLATA toll, mandatory EAS, optional Extended Area Service (EAS) and Metropolitan Calling Area (MCA) traffic exchanged by the Parties pursuant to the ICAs that may be entered into by the Parties prior to the Termination Date. The terms "transited traffic," and "intraLATA toll" will have the meaning ascribed to them in the underlying ICAs and future interconnection agreements. InterLATA toll and IXC carried intraLATA toll are subject to Meet Point Billing as outlined in the ICA or interconnection agreement and applicable tariffs.

#### 5. Compensation

5.1 The Parties shall compensate each other for all Total Compensable Traffic in accordance with the terms of this Section 5.

- 5.2 CLEC-Originated Traffic. ILEC shall bill CLEC, for all CLEC-originated Total Compensable Traffic at the state-specific rates set forth in the applicable Pricing Appendices of each Interconnection Agreement.
- 5.3 ILEC-Originated Traffic. CLEC shall bill ILEC for all ILEC-originated Total Compensable Traffic at the state-specific rates set forth in Exhibit A of this Amendment. The Parties agree that the Exhibit A rates were calculated based on a CLEC-specific traffic study conducted by ILEC to determine the proportion of FX, ISP-Bound Traffic and 251(b)(5) Traffic originated by ILEC and terminated by CLEC.<sup>3</sup>
- 5.3.1 If CLEC designates different points for rating and routing such that traffic that originates in one rate center is carried by ILEC to a routing point designated by CLEC in a rate center that is not local to the calling party even though the called NXX is local to the calling party, such traffic, referred to as Virtual Foreign Exchange (Virtual FX) traffic, shall be Bill and Keep.
- 5.4 Intercarrier Traffic. The Parties agree that the rates, terms and conditions for Intercarrier Traffic other than Total Compensable Traffic are as set forth in the applicable ICA, agreements, and/or tariff.
- 5.5 Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Amendment, either Party may, after this Amendment has been in effect for six (6) months, request that the Parties conduct traffic studies to determine the proportions of ISP-Bound Traffic, FX and Section 251(b)(5) Traffic terminated by each Party. Upon such request, the Parties shall conduct and exchange traffic studies in accordance with the methodology set forth in Exhibit B of this Amendment. Upon completion of such studies, the Parties shall execute an amendment to this Amendment to reflect their agreement to use the resulting proportions of FX, ISP-Bound Traffic and Section 251(b)(5) Traffic from the new studies (and the corresponding state-specific single rates) to compensate each other prospectively for Total Compensable Traffic for the remainder of the term of this Amendment. If the Parties cannot agree upon the appropriate proportion of FX and ISP-Bound Traffic and Section 251(b)(5) Traffic, either Party may take appropriate action at the state Commission pursuant to section 252 of the Act to seek appropriate compensation on ISP-Bound Traffic and Section

---

<sup>3</sup> In the event that any telecommunications carrier should adopt this ICA, which includes this Amendment, pursuant to Section 252(i) of the Act ("Adopting CLEC"), it is ILEC's position that the Adopting CLEC shall bill ILEC for all ILEC-originated Section 251(b)(5) Traffic at the state specific rates in Exhibit A, and for ISP-Bound Traffic at the ISP rate of \$.0007 unless and until ILEC conducts a traffic study to determine the percentage of ILEC originated ISP Bound/251(b)(5) Traffic transported and terminated by Adopting CLEC and the ILEC and Adopting CLEC reach agreement on single state-specific rates and amend this Amendment in accordance with procedures set forth in Section 14.7 and Exhibit C. It is ILEC's position that for ISP-Bound Traffic ILEC and Adopting CLEC will use the FCC's rebuttable presumption as described in the underlying ICA. If the underlying ICA does not have rebuttable presumption language, it is ILEC's position that the following language shall apply to Adopting CLEC: (a) The parties agree that the FCC established a rebuttable presumption that all minutes of use exceeding a 3:1 Terminating to Originating Ratio are ISP-bound Traffic subject to the compensation. Specifically, all 251(b)(5) Traffic (which includes traffic exchanged where Adopting CLEC is using end office switching provided to Adopting CLEC by ILEC on a non-resale, wholesale basis pursuant to a Local Wholesale Complete agreement) and ISP-bound Traffic that is terminated by one party for the other party pursuant to the ICA between ILEC and Adopting CLEC within any month in excess of an amount (measured by total minutes of use) that is three times the traffic that is terminated by the other party pursuant to the ICA between ILEC and Adopting CLEC within that month shall be presumed to be ISP-bound Traffic; (b) Both ILEC and Adopting CLEC have the right to rebut the 3:1 ISP presumption and determine actual ISP-bound traffic by any means mutually agreed by the parties, or by any method approved by the applicable regulatory agency, including the Commission. If a party seeking to rebut the presumption and the Commission approves such rebuttal, then that rebuttal shall be applied on a prospective basis as of the date of the Commission approval. For avoidance of doubt, ILEC and CLEC agree that this Footnote 2 has no force or effect between ILEC and CLEC and is intended by ILEC to apply only to Adopting CLECs.



251(b)(5) Traffic. If a Party takes such action at the applicable state Commission, the Parties agree to use such proportion and/or methodology approved by the state Commission as of the date of the Commission approval and, in addition, the Commission-ordered proportion/methodology shall be utilized to determine the true-up as described below. During the pendency of any such proceedings to alter the proportion of FX, ISP-Bound Traffic and Section 251(b)(5) Traffic, CLEC and ILEC will remain obligated to pay based on the current proportion of FX, ISP-Bound Traffic and Section 251(b)(5) Traffic, subject to a true-up. Upon conclusion of a state Commission proceeding to determine the appropriate proportion/methodology, the Parties shall use the results of the state Commission proceeding and true-up of any amounts paid on FX, ISP-Bound Traffic and Section 251(b)(5) Traffic retroactive back to the date a Party first sought appropriate relief from the Commission to reflect the revised proportion of FX, ISP-Bound Traffic and Section 251(b)(5) Traffic as ordered by the state Commission.

**6. Reservation of Rights**

6.1 Intentionally Omitted.

6.2 The Parties continue to disagree as to whether ISP calls are subject to reciprocal compensation obligations under their ICAs and interconnection agreements and Section 251(b)(5) of the Act. By entering into this Amendment neither Party waives its right to advocate its view with respect to these issues, however neither Party will attempt in any way to overturn the provisions of this Amendment during its term. Similarly, the Parties agree that nothing in this Amendment shall be construed as an admission that ISP traffic is, or is not, subject to reciprocal compensation obligations under their ICAs and interconnection agreements or Section 251(b)(5). Therefore, ILEC payments to CLEC under the Agreement shall not be construed as agreement by ILEC that calls to ISPs constitute local traffic subject to reciprocal compensation obligations, provided, however, notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the Parties agree that for purposes of this Amendment compensation is payable as set forth in this Amendment.

6.3 Except as specifically modified by this Amendment with respect to their mutual obligations herein, neither Party relinquishes, and each Party instead fully reserves, any and all legal rights that it had, has and may have to assert any position with respect to any of the matters set forth herein before any state or federal administrative, legislative, judicial or other legal body.

6.4 In entering into this Amendment, neither Party waives, and each Party expressly reserves, any rights, remedies or arguments it may have at law or under the intervening law or regulatory change provisions in the underlying Agreement (including intervening law rights asserted by either Party via written notice predating this Amendment) with respect to any orders, decisions, legislation or proceedings and any remands thereof, which the Parties have not yet fully incorporated into this Agreement or which may be the subject of further review.



**7. Additional Terms and Conditions**

7.1 This Amendment contains provisions that have been negotiated as part of an entire amendment and integrated with each other in such a manner that each provision is material to every other provision. The Parties stipulate that they would not have mutually agreed to this entire Amendment if a third party carrier could later opt into this Amendment under section 252 (i) of the Act and enjoy higher rates than are in effect at that point in the rate schedule. By entering into this Amendment, ILEC neither agrees that it is obligated to permit, nor waives its rights to contend that it is not

obligated to permit, its tandem switching and common transport facilities to be used without compensation for the carriage of Virtual FX traffic.

- 7.2 The Parties agree that each and every rate, term and condition of this Amendment is legitimately related to, and conditioned on, and in consideration for, every other rate, term and condition in the underlying ICA or interconnection agreement. The Parties agree that they would not have agreed to this Amendment except for the fact that it was entered into on a 4-State basis and included the totality of rates, terms and conditions listed herein.
- 7.3 This Amendment is the joint work product of the Parties and has been negotiated by the Parties and their respective counsel and shall be fairly interpreted in accordance with its terms and, in the event of any ambiguities, no inferences shall be drawn against either Party.
- 7.4 The terms contained in this Amendment and its Exhibits A and B constitute the entire agreement with regard to the modification and amendment of the ICAs and incorporation into future interconnection agreements through the Termination Date, and shall be interpreted solely in accordance with its own terms.
- 7.5 The headings of the Sections of this Amendment are strictly for convenience and shall not in any way be construed to define, modify or restrict the meaning or interpretation of the terms, provisions or conditions of this Amendment.
- 7.6 This Amendment may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original; but such counterparts shall together constitute one and the same instrument.
- 7.7 This Amendment shall be filed by the Parties with the PUCs in each state listed in the introductory paragraph above. Neither Party may seek a stay of the PUCs' approval of this Amendment or in any way seek to delay, postpone or interfere with the PUCs' approval of this Amendment.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this Amendment to be executed on the dates shown below by their respective duly authorized representatives and hereby agree that this Amendment shall be effective between the Parties on January 1, 2009 (the Effective Date).

|                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| US Xchange of Indiana, L.L.C., US Xchange of Michigan, L.L.C., US Xchange of Wisconsin, L.L.C., US Xchange, Inc., Choice One Communications of Ohio, Inc. and Choice One Communications, Inc. | AT&T Operations, Inc. as authorized agent for Indiana Bell Telephone Company Incorporated d/b/a AT&T Indiana, The Ohio Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Ohio, Michigan Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Michigan and Wisconsin Bell, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Wisconsin. |
| Signature:                                                                                                   | Signature:                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Name: <u>DARREN KREIDLER</u><br>Print or type                                                                                                                                                 | Name: <u>Eddie A. Reed, Jr.</u><br>Print or type                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Title: <u>DIRECTOR, CARRIER ACCESS BILLING</u>                                                                                                                                                | Title: Director- Interconnection Agreements                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Date: <u>12/24/08</u>                                                                                                                                                                         | Date: <u>12.31.08</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |

**Resale OCN #s** 9544, 8365, 4149, 7980

**UNE OCN #s** 3765, 8366, 8685, 7979

**Switch Based OCN #s** 3765, 8366, 8685, 7979

**ACNAs** HOC, UXW

EXHIBIT A

| State     | Blended Rate |
|-----------|--------------|
| Indiana   | 0.00151      |
| Michigan  |              |
| Set Up    | 0.00060      |
| Duration  | 0.00069      |
|           |              |
| Wisconsin | 0.00135      |
| Ohio      | 0.00149      |

## EXHIBIT B

### TRAFFIC STUDY METHODOLOGY

1. Each Party shall conduct a study of its originating traffic terminated to the other Party's end users in order to identify the amount of ISP-Bound Traffic and Section 251(b)(5) Traffic.
2. The study shall cover a one-month period to be agreed upon by the Parties.
3. The studies shall cover each of the states covered by this Amendment. The Parties shall compile the data on a state-by-state basis and shall exchange data when the study for any given state is complete.
4. The Parties shall use ILEC originating switch records.
5. To identify ISP-Bound Traffic, the Parties shall use the following criteria: (i) called telephone numbers with average "hold times" of 20 or more minutes (determined by adding minutes/seconds/tenths-of-seconds for all calls to a particular number and dividing by total number of calls to that number and rounding up to the nearest full minute); and (ii) individual telephone numbers must be called a minimum of 200 times during the study period (calls need not originate from the same number).
6. Calls not meeting the criteria set forth in Section 5 shall be presumed to be Section 251(b)(5) Traffic.
7. For those calls that do meet the criteria in Section 5, each Party shall further validate that the calls are ISP-bound by dialing the numbers individually to determine if answered by an ISP modem. Calls that do not reach an ISP modem shall be presumed to be Section 251(b)(5) Traffic.
8. In providing the results of its study to other Party, each Party shall provide the following detail:
  - State
  - Traffic Month reported on
  - Total Terminating Minutes for study period
  - Total Number of Terminating Minutes and Calls meeting criteria for being classified as ISP-Bound Traffic for study period
  - Calling and Called Telephone Numbers for calls classified as ISP-Bound Traffic
9. If either Party desires to rebut the results of the other Party's study, it shall provide its own analysis and the Parties shall reconcile any differences in the studies.