S T A T E O F M I C H I G A N

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission approval of interconnection agreements and amendments.	))))

At the July 30, 2012 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing, Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. John D. Quackenbush, Chairman
Hon. Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Commissioner
Hon. Greg R. White, Commissioner

ORDER

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements or amendments to an interconnection agreement:

Case No. U-13031	NOS Communications, Inc., and Frontier North Inc. and Frontier Midstates Inc. Application filed on July 10, 2012, for approval of an interconnection agreement.
Case No. U-13378	Comcast Phone of Michigan, LLC, and AT&T Michigan Application filed July 10, 2012, for approval of a ninth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises the method of calculating business line tallies).
Case No. U-13791	Winn Telephone Company, d/b/a Winn Telecom, and Frontier North Inc. and Frontier Midstates Inc. Application filed on July 2, 2012, for approval of an interconnection agreement.
Case No. U-13963	ACN Communications Services, Inc., and Frontier North Inc. and Frontier Midstates Inc. Application filed on July 9, 2012, for approval of an interconnection agreement.

Case No. U-14063	American Cellular Corporation, and Frontier North Inc. and Frontier Midstates Inc. Application filed on July 2, 2012, for approval of an interconnection agreement.
Case No. U-14396	Comcast Phone of Michigan, LLC, and Frontier North Inc. and Frontier Midstates Inc. Application filed on July 2, 2012, for approval of an interconnection agreement.
Case No. U-14397	American Broadband & Telecommunications Company, and Frontier North Inc. and Frontier Midstates Inc. Application filed on July 2, 2012, for approval of an interconnection agreement.
Case No. U-14836	Sprint Spectrum L.P., and Pigeon Telephone Company Application filed on July 17, 2012, for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (adds bill-and-keep terms and conditions for intra-MTA traffic).
Case No. U-15091	Upper Peninsula Telephone Company, and New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC, d/b/a AT&T Mobility Application filed July 3, 2012, for approval of the first amendment to an interconnection agreement (adds bill-and-keep terms and conditions for intra-MTA traffic).
Case No. U-15122	Pigeon Telephone Company, and New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC, d/b/a AT&T Mobility Application filed June 27, 2012, for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (adds bill-and-keep terms and conditions for intra-MTA traffic).
Case No. U-15227	Comcast Phone of Michigan, LLC, and Frontier Communications of Michigan, Inc. Application filed on June 28, 2012, for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises several Ancillary Services paragraphs regarding directory listings).
Case No. U-16098	Entelegent Solutions, Inc., and Frontier North Inc. and Frontier Midstates Inc. Application filed on June 28, 2012, for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises reciprocal compensation rates as provided in the USF/ICC Transformation Order FCC 11-161, released November 18, 2011).

Case No. U-16288	Michigan Central Broadband Company, LLC, and New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC, d/b/a AT&T Mobility Application filed July 3, 2012, for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (adds bill-and-keep terms and conditions for intra-MTA traffic).
Case No. U-17046	Birch Telecom of the Great Lakes, Inc., and Frontier North Inc. and Frontier Midstates Inc. Application filed on June 29, 2012, for approval of an interconnection agreement.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3) provides in part:

> (2) The State commission may only reject
>
> > (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
> >
> > > (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
> > >
> > > (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; . . ..
>
> (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

After reviewing the applications, the Commission finds that they should be approved. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements shall be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court under 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION



John D. Quackenbush, Chairman



Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Commissioner



Greg R. White, Commissioner

By its action of July 30, 2012.



Mary Jo Kunkle, Executive Secretary

P R O O F O F S E R V I C E

STATE OF MICHIGAN)

Case No. U-13031

County of Ingham)

Alyssa Sherman being duly sworn, deposes and says that on July 30, 2012 A.D. she served a copy of the attached Commission order by first class mail, postage prepaid, or by inter-departmental mail, to the persons as shown on the attached service list.

Alyssa Sherman

Subscribed and sworn to before me
This 30th day of July 2012

Gloria Pearl Jones
Notary Public, Ingham County, MI
My Commission Expires June 5, 2016
Acting in Eaton County

Frontier Midstates Inc. f/k/a Verizon North Systems
Robert E. Stewart
224 W. Exchange
Owosso MI 48867

Frontier North Inc., f/k/a Verizon North Inc.
Robert E. Stewart
224 W. Exchange
Owosso MI 48867

Nos Communications, Inc.
Joseph Koppy, CEO
4380 Boulder Highway
Las Vegas NV 89121

Robert E. Stewart
Frontier Communications, f/k/a Verizon North
224 W. Exchange
Owosso MI 48867