

1

STATE OF MICHIGAN

2

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

3

In the matter of the complaint
of Corvus, Inc., against AT&T
Communications of Michigan.

Case No. U-14875

4

Volume No. 1

5

6

PREHEARING CONFERENCE

7

Proceedings held in the above-entitled matter

8

before Daniel E. Nickerson, Jr., J.D., Administrative

9

Law Judge with SOAHR, at the Michigan Public Service

10

Commission, 6545 Mercantile Way, Room D, Lansing,

11

Michigan, on Thursday, June 15, 2006, at 1:32 p.m.

12

APPEARANCES:

13

HARAN C. RASHES, ESQ.
Clark Hill, PLC

14

212 East Grand River Avenue
Lansing, Michigan 48906

15

On behalf of Corvus, Inc.

16

17

JOHN J. REIDY, III, J.D.
AT&T Communications
225 West Randolph St., Floor 25D
Chicago, Illinois 60606

18

19

and

20

ERIN J. STOVEL, J.D.
Dickinson Wright
215 South Washington Sq., Suite 200
Lansing, Michigan 48933

21

22

On behalf of AT&T Communications of Michigan, Inc.

23

24

25

(Continued)

1 APPEARANCES Continued:

2 MICHAEL J. ORRIS, J.D.
3 Assistant Attorney General
4 6545 Mercantile Way, Suite 15
5 Lansing, Michigan 48911

6 On behalf of the Michigan Public Service
7 Commission Staff

8 - - -

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPORTED BY: Marie T. Schroeder, CSR-2183

1 Lansing, Michigan
2 Thursday, June 15, 2006
3 At 1:32 p.m.

4 - - -

5 JUDGE NICKERSON: All right. On the
6 record. Good afternoon, everyone. This is the date and
7 time set for a prehearing conference before the Michigan
8 Public Service Commission in Docket No. U-14875. That
9 involves the complaint of Corvus, Inc. versus AT&T
10 Communications.

11 My name is Daniel Nickerson. I'm the
12 Administrative Law Judge with SOAHR assigned on this
13 case. Let's take appearances of counsel for the record,
14 please.

15 MR. RASHES: Good morning, your Honor.
16 Haran C. Rashes of the law firm of Clark Hill, PLC, on
17 behalf of Complainant, Corvus, Incorporated.

18 MR. REIDY: Good afternoon, your Honor.
19 John J. Reidy appearing on behalf of AT&T Communications
20 of Michigan, Inc., Respondent in this case.

21 And just as an aside, I'd like to thank
22 you for rescheduling the prehearing to meet my schedule.

23 MS. STOVIL: Good morning, your Honor.
24 Erin Stovil, I'm here on behalf of AT&T Communications,
25 Inc., from Dickinson Wright.

1 MR. ORRIS: Michael Orris on behalf of
2 the staff of the Michigan Public Service Commission.

3 JUDGE NICKERSON: All right. Thank you
4 for your appearances this afternoon.

5 Are there any preliminary matters before
6 we discuss scheduling?

7 MR. REIDY: No, your Honor.

8 JUDGE NICKERSON: All right. There are
9 several proposed schedules. Let's go off the record.

10 (Discussion was held off the record.)

11 JUDGE NICKERSON: On the record. I
12 understand the parties have agreed to a schedule. Mr.
13 Rashes, would you state the schedule for the record,
14 please.

15 MR. RASHES: Yes, your Honor. AT&T's
16 testimony and staff's testimony would be due on Monday,
17 July 10. Any rebuttal testimony would be due Thursday,
18 July 27. Motions to strike would be due Friday,
19 August 4.

20 The evidentiary hearing will be held
21 Monday, August 7, continuing on to August 8 if necessary.
22 Initial briefs will be due Friday, August 25, reply
23 briefs due Friday, September 1. Proposal for decision is
24 expected no later than Friday, September 22, with
25 exceptions on Friday, September 29, replies to exceptions

1 due on Thursday, October 5. And a commission order is
2 expected by Monday, October 30, 182nd day, moved because
3 of the weekend.

4 JUDGE NICKERSON: All right. Comments
5 with regard to the schedule?

6 MR. ORRIS: The discovery, I believe, was
7 discussed as five business days or best effort.

8 MR. REIDY: Correct. AT&T concurs in the
9 schedule.

10 JUDGE NICKERSON: Staff?

11 MR. ORRIS: Staff concurs.

12 JUDGE NICKERSON: The schedule as stated
13 by Mr. Rashes is adopted.

14 Is there anything else for the record?

15 MR. ORRIS: None from staff, your Honor.

16 MR. REIDY: No, your Honor. Thank you.

17 MR. RASHES: No, thank you, your Honor.

18 JUDGE NICKERSON: All right. We're
19 adjourned. Thank you.

20 (At 1:55 p.m., the proceedings were adjourned to
21 August 7, 2006, for evidentiary hearing.)

22 - - -

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Marie T. Schroeder (SCR-2183), do
hereby certify that I reported in stenotype the
proceedings had in the above-entitled matter, that being
Case No. U-14875, before Daniel E. Nickerson, Jr., J.D.,
Administrative Law Judge with SOAHR, at the Michigan
Public Service Commission, 6545 Mercantile Way, Lansing,
Michigan, on Thursday, June 15, 2006; and do further
certify that the foregoing transcript, consisting of (6)
six pages, is a true and correct transcript of my
stenotype notes.

**Marie T.
Schroeder**
Digitally signed by Marie
T. Schroeder
DN: CN = Marie T.
Schroeder, C = US, O =
Metro Court Reporters Inc
Date: 2006.06.29
16:27:46 -04'00'

Marie T. Schroeder, CSR-2183
33231 Grand River Avenue
Farmington, Michigan 48336

Dated: June 27, 2006