

STATE OF MICHIGAN
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements or)
amendments.)
_____)

At the May 10, 2011 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman
 Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner
 Hon. Greg R. White, Commissioner

ORDER

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements
or amendments to an interconnection agreement:

- | | |
|------------------|---|
| Case No. U-12798 | Broadwing Communications, LLC, and AT&T Michigan
Application filed on April 21, 2011, for approval of a thirteenth
amendment to an interconnection agreement (removes UNE and
resale rates, terms, and conditions from the agreement). |
| Case No. U-15394 | Hiawatha Telephone Company and New Cingular Wireless PCS,
LLC, d/b/a AT&T Mobility PCS
Application filed on April 14, 2011, for approval of a first
amendment to an interconnection agreement (reflects new rates for
Hiawatha for local transport, termination, and switching approved in
the August 26, 2008 order in Case No. U-15035). |
| Case No. U-16758 | COMLINK, L.L.C., and AT&T Michigan
Application filed on March 29, 2011, for approval of an
interconnection agreement (adopts the agreement between AT&T
Michigan and Access Point, Inc., approved by the Commission in
the April 16, 2009 order in Case No. U-15932). |

- Case No. U-16765 Lucre, Inc., and Frontier North Inc. and Frontier Midstates Inc.
Application filed on April 8, 2011, for approval of an interconnection agreement (adopts the agreement between Frontier North Inc., f/k/a Verizon North Inc., and Frontier Midstates Inc., f/k/a Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and TC3 Telecom, Inc., approved in the August 11, 2009 order in Case No. U-16021).
- Case No. U-16766 Lynx Network Group, LLC, and Frontier North Inc. and Frontier Midstates Inc.
Application filed on April 8, 2011, for approval of an interconnection agreement (adopts the agreement between Frontier North Inc., f/k/a Verizon North Inc., and Frontier Midstates Inc., f/k/a Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and TC3 Telecom, Inc., approved in the August 11, 2009 order in Case No. U-16021).
- Case No. U-16768 Lynx Network Group, LLC, and AT&T Michigan
Application filed on April 6, 2011, for approval of an interconnection agreement (adopts the agreement between AT&T Michigan and CMC Telecom, Inc., approved in the March 14, 2006 order in Case No. U-14795, including the first through twenty-second amendments).
- Case No. U-16778 Peninsula Fiber Network, LLC, and AT&T Michigan
Application filed on April 21, 2011, for approval of an interconnection agreement and the first through third amendments (the first amendment adds a negotiated Physical Collocation Appendix, a negotiated Virtual Collocation Appendix, and a Collocation Rate Summary Table; the second amendment establishes new rates for DS-1 loop cross connects and clarifies the type of cross connects used with a DS-1 loop; and the third amendment establishes and/or clarifies the rates for the type of cross connects used with DS-1 loops, DS-1 transport, and DS-1 eels).

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity;
- ...

- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

After reviewing the applications, the Commission finds that they should be approved. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements shall be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

- A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.
- B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court under 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman

Monica Martinez, Commissioner

Greg R. White, Commissioner

By its action of May 10, 2011.

Mary Jo Kunkle, Executive Secretary

P R O O F O F S E R V I C E

STATE OF MICHIGAN)

Case No. U-12798

County of Ingham)

Mignon Middlebrook being duly sworn, deposes and says that on May 10, 2011 A.D. she served a copy of the attached Commission orders by first class mail, postage prepaid, or by inter-departmental mail, to the persons as shown on the attached service list.

Mignon Middlebrook

Subscribed and sworn to before me
This 10th day of May 2011

Gloria Pearl Jones
Notary Public, Ingham County, MI
My Commission Expires June 5, 2016

Service List U-12798

AT&T Michigan
Robin Gleason
221 N. Washington Square
Ground Floor
Lansing MI 48933

Broadwing Communications, LLC
Gregory T. Diamond
1025 Eldorado Blvd.
Broomfield CO 80021

Mark R. Ortlieb
AT&T Michigan
221 N. Washington Square, Room G1
Lansing MI 48933